

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Bos. 1450 Alexandras, Virgina 22313-1450 www.napho.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/050,700	01/18/2002	Wei Ping Zheng	967AF	7390	
7590 10/31/2003			EXAM	EXAMINER	
Kevin Redmond			GLENN, KIMBERLY E		
6960 SW Gator Trail Palm City, FL 34990			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,,			2817		

DATE MAILED: 10/31/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/050,700	ZHENG ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Kimberly E Glenn	2817		

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

THE REPLY FILED 14 October 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may gnjy be either (; 1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in

	condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notic Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CF	ce of Appeal (with appeal fee); or R 1.114.	or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued
	PERK	OD FOR REPLY [check either a	a) or b)]
	a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the	ne mailing date of the final rejection.	
	no event, however, will the statutory period for ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST 706.07(f).	or reply expire later than SIX MONTHS T REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO M	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP
		ng the period of extension and the corr iration date of the shortened statutory is yed by the Office later than three month	
	A Notice of Appeal was filed on 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension ther		
	2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be	e entered because:	
į	(a) M they raise new issues that would re	equire further consideration and	d/or search (see NOTE below);
į	(b) they raise the issue of new matter	(see Note below);	
i	(c) they are not deemed to place the a issues for appeal; and/or	application in better form for app	peal by materially reducing or simplifying the
	(d) they present additional claims with	nout canceling a corresponding	number of finally rejected claims.
	NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.		
	3. Applicant's reply has overcome the follo	owing rejection(s):	
	4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _ canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	would be allowable if subr	mitted in a separate, timely filed amendment
	5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) application in condition for allowance b		s been considered but does NOT place the
	6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be constraised by the Examiner in the final reje		ed SOLELY to issues which were newly
	7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed a explanation of how the new or amende		
1	The status of the claim(s) is (or will be)	as follows:	
Ì	Claim(s) allowed:		
	Claim(s) objected to:		
	Claim(s) rejected: 1-28.		
	Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration	:	
I	8. The proposed drawing correction filed of	on is a) approved or	b) disapproved by the Examine).
1	9. Note the attached Information Disclosur	re Statement(s)( PTO-1449) Pş	30er No(s)/
Ì	10. Other:	6	while I
			Pobert Pascal
			Supervisory Patent Examiner
	U.S. Palent and Trademark Office		Technology Center 2800
١	PTOL-303 (Rev. 04-01)	Advisory Action	Part of Paper No. 10282003

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The limitation regarding an insulative overglaze would require further consideration as well as futher search.